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Unless otherwise noted, all bulleted comments apply to all programs reviewed.

1 Outcome

The Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) concluded that the Decanal response adequately addressed the review recommendations.

2 Significant Program Strengths
• Original and creative program fills important educational need
• High-quality, motivated students
• Strong program completion rates and appropriate employment of graduates
• High quality research from committed and dedicated faculty
• Very high morale of faculty, staff and students

3 Opportunities for Program Enhancement

The reviewers recommended that the following be considered:

• Strengthening the capstone experience for students and facilitating student preparation prior to the program
• Further developing the provision of student services
• Strengthening external relationships, building greater ties with employers and industry

4 Implementation Plan

The Dean undertook in consultation with the Institute to support the following changes:

• Immediate Term (6 months)
  o Strengthening the capstone experience for students and facilitating student preparation prior to the program
    ▪ The Institute will conduct consultations with students, instructors and alumni to obtain additional feedback on the current MMI curriculum and discuss possible changes.
  o Further developing the provision of student services
    ▪ The Program has hired a staff member to focus on recruiting students and providing support to career development and placement activities.

• Medium Term (1-2 years)
  o Strengthening the capstone experience for students and facilitating student preparation prior to the program
    ▪ The Program will introduce new courses and/or a preparatory boot-camp after consultation with stakeholders.
  o Further developing the provision of student services
    ▪ The Institute will gradually increase program enrolment, which will be accompanied by an increase in student services.
    ▪ The Institute will work to increase the applicant pool, provide more career development to current students, and offer information sessions and other activities for promoting the program to potential applicants.
    ▪ The Dean’s Office will investigate appointing an associate director to provide the additional resource required for such activities.
  o Strengthening external relationships
    ▪ The Institute will create an industry advisory board.
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- Longer Term (3-5 years)
  - Strengthening external relationships
    - Once enrolments have expanded, the Dean’s Office will support hiring a teaching stream faculty member with industry experience to teach some applied courses and also potentially serve as a liaison between the program and industry.

The Dean’s Office will follow up annually with the unit to assess progress.

5 Executive Summary

The reviewers identified the program’s strengths as its originality and creativity; its fulfillment of an important educational need; its high-quality, motivated students; strong program completion rates and appropriate employment of graduates; committed and dedicated faculty; and the very high morale of faculty, staff and students. The reviewers recommended that the following issues be addressed: strengthening the capstone experience for students and facilitating student preparation prior to the program; further developing the provision of student services; and strengthening external relationships, building greater ties with employers and industry. The Program will introduce new courses and/or a preparatory boot-camp after consultation with stakeholders. The Program has hired a staff member to focus on recruiting students and providing support to career development and placement activities. The Institute will create an industry advisory board and will add a teaching stream faculty member from industry once enrolments have grown. The Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) concluded that the Decanal response adequately addressed the review recommendations.